EARLY-AGE ACTIVITY OF FLOOR CONCRETE SLABS MADE WITH GGBS AS A PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF CEMENT

KANGKANG TANG

Civil Engineering Department, University of Wolverhampton, UK
E-mail: k.tang@wlv.ac.uk

Abstract- More than 80 million tonnes of blast furnace slag is generated annually as a by-product of the steel industry in China. Most ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) however ends up in low-grade applications such as cementitious materials in mortar. Blast furnace slag, ground to a suitable fineness, can be used as a partial replacement of cementitious material in structural concrete. This paper discussed the potential for using GGBS in floor concrete slabs. With 50% of CEM I cement replaced with GGBS, peak hydration temperatures determined in a suspended concrete slab reduced by 20%. This beneficiary effect however has not been further improved with 70% of CEM I replaced with GGBS. Partial replacement of CEM I with GGBS alsohas a retardation effect on the early-age strength of concrete, especially at an early age.

Keywords- GGBS, thermal effect, sustainable construction

I. INTRODUCTION

China is going through a remarkable economic growth. According to the World Bank [1], the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita in China increased from $963 in 1990 to $11,907 in 2013. It should be noted that the economic growth in China still largely depends on the investment, especially the construction investment [2]. There will be a continuous increase in construction investment if this development trajectory is to be continued. There are however some issues associated with the development of construction industries. For instance, more than 80 million tonnes of blast furnace slag is generated annually as a by-product of the steel industry. Approximately 80% of blast furnace slag is recycled [3]. Landfilling the remaining 20% still requires an equivalent volume of 10m deep and roughly 1 km^2 in surface area.

The majority of recycled blast furnace slag is used as an additive in low grade blended cement, i.e. Blastfurnace Cement P.S 32.5 (equivalent to the EU CEM III 32,5). The cost of grinding blast furnace slag into GGBS is similar to the gate price of CEM I cement in China. The cost of using GGBS in low-grade applications may not be reimbursed if the nearest steel company producing GGBS is further away from the construction site and this was found to be true in many major cities in China [4]. There is therefore a growing risk that more blast furnace slag may be disposed of in landfills.

Blast furnace slag, ground to an appropriate fineness, can be directly used as a cementitious material in concrete. GB/T 18046-2008 [5], Chinese standard for GGBS used in concrete, recognises that three classes of GGBS, according to its density and specific surface (**.**

Table 1), can be used in concrete. It is a common concern that partial replacement of cement with GGBS may have a retardation effect on the strength of concrete, especially at an early age. In Shanghai, the maximum replacement ratio of CEM I with GGBS cannot go beyond 45% in concrete floor slabs, as shown in **Table 2**. Tan [6]nevertheless reported that with 50% CEM I replaced with GGBS, there is no detrimental effect on the strength of concrete at the age of 3, 7 and 28 days. The presence of GGBS also improves the durability of concrete including a reduced permeability. This beneficiary effect however may not become effective if the content of GGBS is less than 50% of the total binder [7]. There is scope to develop an 'optimum' GGBS mix proportion which could yield the best structural and durability performance in structural concrete.

The heat development from early-age cement hydration in concrete mixes containing GGBS as a partial cement replacement is slower than that of the CEM I only mixes. As a result, the peak temperature in fresh GGBS concrete is lower than that in CEM I only concrete. There is a beneficiary effect of using GGBS in structural concrete such as beams and slabs for crack mitigation purposes. Paine *et al.* [8] and Zheng *et al.*[9] reported that the heat development from cement hydration during early-age setting and curing in concrete mixes containing GGBS was slower than that of the CEM I mixes. Bamforth [10] and Dhir *et al.*[11] quantified this effect by introducing a short-term temperature difference factor T1 (°C) to define the difference between the peak temperature during the early age exothermic cement hydration reaction and the ambient temperature at the time of casting. The magnitude of T1 was found to be dependent upon the composition of the concrete mix, formwork materials and the ambient temperature.

	Maximum replacement ratio (%)
Concrete superstructure	45
Mass concrete, e.g. gravity foundation	70
Concrete drainage	45
Tunnel lining concrete	45
Bridge and road concrete	45

Table 3 gives T1 values determined from three different concrete mixes with and without using GGBS. These results were determined based on a 300mm thick ground bearing slab and the concrete placing temperature was at 20°C. With 70% of CEM I cement replaced with GGBS, thermal loading or the T1 value reduced from 17 to 11.5°C. It should be noted that an increased concrete placing temperature will accelerate the hydration of both cement and GGBS which might result in a higher T1 value [12].

Table 1: Requirements for GGBS concrete[5]

	Classes		
	S105	S95	S75
Density (g/cm3)	≥2.8		
Specific surface (m2/kg)	≥500	≥400	≥300
Water content (%)	≤1		
SO3 (%)	≤4		
Cl (%)	≤0.06		

Table 2: Maximum % of CEM I can be replaced in concrete [13]

	Maximum replacement ratio (%)
Concrete superstructure	45
Mass concrete, e.g. gravity foundation	70
Concrete drainage	45
Tunnel lining concrete	45
Bridge and road concrete	45


Table 3: T1 values [10]

	Total binder content (kg/m3)	T1 (°C)
100%CEM I	380	17
50%CEM I + 50%GGBS	395	12
30%CEM I + 70% GGBS	480	11.5


Some experimental approaches have been developed to measure the temperature development in structural concrete. These helped to determine the temperature variations and associated thermal stresses. Da Silva *et al.*[14] reported that a semi-adiabatic test was a cost effective approach to investigate the heat development in mass concrete. The authors successfully predicted the hydration temperature in a 1050m^3 volume concrete foundation based on the experimental results obtained from a 1m3 concrete cube specimen under a semi-adiabatic curing condition.

II. DETAILS EXPERIMENTAL

This paper reports the technical feasibility of using high percentage of GGBS in structural concrete such as floor concrete slabs, which can be taken as a high-value application in China. The GGBS used for this project was Class S93 [5]. The specific surface of GGBS was 425m^2/kg (**Table 4**). In comparison, CEM I 42,5 cement used in this project only has a reduced surface of 350m^2/kg. Image analysis was conducted on both CEM I and GGBS samples using a Hitachi TM3000 scanning electron microscope. The particle size of GGBS was observed to be smaller but more uniform compared with that of CEM I (



(a) CEM I particles



(b) GGBS particles

Fig. 1). This indicates that a better grinding quality might have been achieved during the fabrication process.

The coarse aggregate used for this project was 5-40mm graded crushed gravel from a local quarry. The fine aggregate was well-graded medium sand. Three concrete mixes, as presented in

Specific surface area (m2/kg)	Particle density (g/cm3)	SO3 (%)	Cl (%)
425	2.9	0.14	≤0.06

Table 5, were developed to investigate the effect of partial replacement of CEM I with GGBS on the heat and strength development in concrete. The target of Mix 1 was to make C35/40 concrete with a suitable workability for floor slab casting. BS 8500-1 [15]gives a slump range between 100 and 150mm for slab casting. All mix proportions have been finalized by casting and testing several trial mixes to satisfy this workability requirement.

Concrete slab specimens, 350×250×300mm, were cast in an insulated plywood box to simulate the continuous curing conditions of a suspended concrete slab, as shown in




Fig. 2. Four sides of the specimen were insulated with 2cm thick expanded polystyrene sheets. The bottom of the specimen was in contact with a plywood sheet and the top surface was exposed to air. These represented the expected thermal conditions of a continuous floor slab cast upon plywood formwork. Concrete slab specimens, inside the insulated plywood box, were placed in an environmental chamber immediately after casting. The environmental chamber was set at 20°C. Thermocouples were positioned in the centre of concrete slab specimens to record the temperature for 3 days after casting. Standard concrete cube specimens, 150×150×150mm, were cast alongside and cured at 20°C. The testing ages for the cube specimens were 3 and 28 days.

Table IGGBS used in this project


Specific surface area (m2/kg)	Particle density (g/cm3)	SO3 (%)	Cl (%)
425	2.9	0.14	≤0.06

Table 5: Mix proportions

	Total binder content (kg/m3)	Free W/C	Total aggregate content (kg/m3)
Mix 1: 100% CEM I	398	0.49	1782
Mix 2: 50% GGBS	398	0.51	1782
Mix 3: 70% GGBS	398	0.51	1782



(a) CEM I particles



(b) GGBS particles

Fig. 1. Scanning electron micrographs (40 times of magnification)



Fig. 2.Concrete slab specimen cast inside an insulated plywood box

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION




Fig. 3 indicates that partial replacement of CEM I with GGBS has a detrimental effect on the 3-day strength of concrete, especially with 70% CEM I replaced with GGBS. The average 3-day compressive strength of Mix 3 was 9.3N/mm^2, which was only 38% of that measured in Mix 1, 24.6N/mm^2.




Fig. 4 shows the heat development in the centre of the slab specimens. With 50% of CEM I replaced with GGBS, the rate of hydration decreased alongside a reduced peak hydration temperature from 30 to 24°C. This indicates a beneficiary effect of using GGBS to replace CEM I. This beneficiary effect however was not improved with 70% of CEM I replaced with GGBS, as shown in




Fig. 4. In this project, the bottom of concrete was in contact with the plywood formwork directly and this contributed to a partial heat loss from the underneath. As a result, the T1 values were found to be lower compared to the T1 values reported for the ground bearing slabs, as presented in

	Maximum replacement ratio (%)
Concrete superstructure	45
Mass concrete, e.g. gravity foundation	70
Concrete drainage	45
Tunnel lining concrete	45
Bridge and road concrete	45

Table 3.




Fig. 3. Compressive strengths at 3 and 28 days




Fig. 4. Temperature history in the centre of concrete slab specimen

CONCLUSIONS

Partial replacement of CEM I with GGBS has a retardation effect on the strength of concrete, especially at an early age. This is a common concern of the construction industry which might prevent its application in structural concrete. The presence of GGBS however has a beneficiary effect on a reduced peak hydration temperature. With 50% of CEM I replaced with GGBS, peak hydration temperatures determined in a 300mm thick concrete slab reduced from 30 to 24°C. More GGBS concrete mixes will be conducted to identify an 'optimum' replacement level which will lead to a reduced thermal loading, without significantly compromising the early-age strength of concrete.

REFERENCES

[1] World Bank, "GDP per capita, PPP (current international $)", 2014, Available from: http://data.worldbank.org/ [cited 20/1/2015].

[2] Zheng, S. and Liu, H., "Interaction among construction investment, other investment and GDP in China", Tsinghua Science and Technology, 9 (2) 2004, pp. 160-167.

[3] Tang, K., High Value Applications of GGBS in China in The 2014 World Congress on Advances in Civil, Environmental & Materials Research (ACEM14), Choi, C.-K., Editor. 2014, Techno-Press: Busan, Korea.

[4] Tang, K., Millard, S., and Beattie, G., "Technical and economic aspects of using GGBFS for crack control mitigation in long span reinforced concrete structures", Construction and Building Materials, 39 (1) 2013, pp. 65-70.

[5] PRC Ministry of Construction, "GB/T 18046-2008 Ground granulated blast furnace slag used for cement concrete", General administration of quality supervision, inspection and quarantine of the People's Repulic of China, 2008, ISBN 155066131017.

[6] Tan, J., "The effect of GGBS on concrete and its applications", Guangdong Jiancai (2) 2007, pp. 15-17.

[7] Neville, A.M., "Properties of concrete", England, Pearson Education Limited, 2011, ISBN 978-0-273-75580-7.

[8] Paine, K.A., Zheng, L., and Dhir, R.K. "Early-Age Temperature Rises in GGBS Concrete - Part 2: T1 Values for Low Heat and Very Low Heat Cements", Proceedings of International Congress - Global Construction: Ultimate Concrete Opportunities, 2005, Editor: Dhir, R.K., Harrison, T.A., and Newlands, M.D., London, Thomas Telford, ISBN 0727733877, pp. 183-194.

[9] Zheng, L., Paine, K.A., and Dhir, R.K. "Early-Age Temperature Rises in GGBS Concrete: Part 1: Determination of T1 Values", Proceedings of International Congress - Global Construction: Ultimate Concrete Opportunities, 2005, Editor: Dhir, R.K., Harrison, T.A., and Newlands, M.D., London, Thomas Telford, ISBN 0727733877, pp. 173-182.

[10] Bamforth, P.B., "CIRIA C660 Early-age Thermal Crack Control in Concrete", London, CIRIA 2007, ISBN 978-8-86107-660-2.

[11] Dhir, R.K., Paine, K.A., and Zheng, L., "Design data for use where low heat cements are used DTI Research Contract No. 39/680, CC2257. Report No CTU 2704", University of Dundee, 2006.

[12] Bougara, A., Khatib, J., and Khellafi, H., Some parameters affecting the heat of hydration of blast-furnace slag cement, in 1st International Conference on Sustainable Built Environment Infrastructures in Developing Countries. 2009: ENSET Oran, Algeria. pp. 9-16.

[13] SHJJW, "DG/TJ08-501-2008. Code for utility technique of granulated blast-furnace slag used in concrete", SHJJW (Shanghai Urban-Rural Development and Transport Commission), Shanghai, China, 2008.

[14] Da Silva, W.R.L., Smilauer, V., and Stemberk, P., "Upscaling semi-adiabatic measurements for simulating temperature evolution of mass concrete structures", Materials and Structures, 48 (4) 2013, pp. 1031-1041.

[15] BSI, "BS 8500-1: 2006 Complementary British Standard to BS EN 206-1. Method of specifying and guidance for the specifier ", British Standards Institution (BSI), 2006.

★★★