OPTIMAL HOSTEL REPLACEMENT MAINTENANCEAPPLIED INTELLIGENT MODEL BASED ON THE COST AND DOWNTIME VALUE

[1]YUSENI AB WAHAB, [2]SHARIFFUDIN AMIR HASHIM

Faculty of Science and Technology, Kolej Universiti Islam Melaka (KUIM), Kuala Sungai Baru 78200,Masjid Tanah, Melaka, Malaysia
E-mail: [1]yuseni@kuim.edu.my, [2]shariffudin@kuim.edu.my

Abstract - The optimum time in University hostel replacement maintenanceto action the implement the terms reduce the amount of downtime and the cost per unit of time. Failure data collected during the selected period of time and if not sufficient, a subjective questionnaire and bootstrapping methods used to support the information is incomplete. Maintenance frequency is identified by developing intelligent model based on the costs and downtime value. Techniques adopted the Genetic Algorithms (GA) and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) techniques to obtain the optimal replacement based on the replacement cost of downtime and maintenance. The results also showed that the maintenance staff is smart model has additional features to support the implementation of their decisions.

Keywords - Optimal Replacement Model; IntelligentModel,Cost and Downtime Value

I. INTRODUCTION

According to the study, Maintenance Management Hostel (HMM) has no modeling to identify the frequency of maintenance within a certain time. The most important thing in this issue is that it is related to identifying the optimal level of preventive maintenance (in this case, inspection and replacement). When necessary, the period may be included in the model replacement, as required when the goal is to minimize the amount of downtime or equivalent and maximize the availability of items. There is a need to have optimum maintenance strategy as the replacement, repair and inspection. Before any optimum maintenance strategy can be implemented, the distribution parameter of component failure and dormitory identified [1].

The necessary balance between the money spent on the replacement and savings obtained by reducing operating costs. The problem is to determine the optimal time in which the replacement should occur to reduce the amount of downtime and the cost per unit time for hostels in Malaysia. The HMM model seldom uses AI in identifying the frequency of maintenance. The AI technique can be incorporated into the replacement model, as is required when they try to minimise the total downtime or equivalent and to maximum item availability. There is a need to have an intelligent maintenance strategy such as replacement, repair and inspection. By enriching the model with AI, it is believed the accuracy of the result can be improved. The aim of this research is to propose an intelligent optimal replacement model. The model will aim to find the optimal replacement frequency by referring to cost and downtime values [2].

II. FRAMEWORK

To identify constraints and limits clear this study, the scope of this study need to be determined .To develop and apply an HMM, a detailed research design is needed. The research design framework for this research is adapted from previous studies [3-4]as shown in Figure (1).




Figure (1) The proposed Framework

2.1 Framework optimal replacement model

The cost of an inspection and repair keep on increasing. This methodology demonstrates the concept for the use of minimizing downtime and costs, setting maintenance intervals to achieve the optimum. The methodology also demonstrates the use of analysis for a potential catastrophe resulting from failure of a piece of equipment or a component. The model also takes into account whether the damage from such a failure is reversible, and if so, at what cost. Information is gathered from historical data as well as expert judgement. Parameters are established from this information in order to develop the models [4-5].

2.2. Establish a Cost model C (T)

In general, a replacement costs money in terms of materials and wages. A balance is required between the money spent on replacement and savings obtained by reducing the operating cost. Thus, an optimal replacement policy can be determined to minimize the sum of operating and replacement costs per unit time. The cost conflicts and associated optimization problem are illustrated in Figure (2). It should be stressed that this class of problem can be termed as a short-term deterministic since the magnitude of the interval between replacements is weeks or months, rather than years. If the interval between replacements is measured in years, then the fact that changes in monetary value over time would need to be taken into account in the analysis[5-6].




Figure (2). Short-Term Deterministic Optimization

2.3. Construction of Model

1. C(t) is the operating cost per unit time at time t after replacement.
2. 2.C_r is the total cost of a replacement.
3. The replacement policy is to perform replacements at intervals of length. The policy is illustrated in Figure (3)
4. The objective is to determine the optimal interval between replacements to minimize the total cost of operation and replacement per unit time.




Figure (3) A Replacement Cycle

The total cost per unit time C( ), for replacement at time , is Equation (1.1).

C ( ) = Total Cost In Interval(0, tr)
Length of Interval (1.1)

Total cost in the interval = cost of the operating + cost of replacement, is in the Equation (1.2).

$$\int_0^{t_r} c(t)dt + C_r$$

Then, in the Equation (1.2) (1.2)

This is a model of the problem relating replacement interval to total cost per unit time C , and development of the model is illustrated graphically in Figure (4)




Figure (4) Model Development: Short Term

The optimal replacement interval is that value of that minimizes the right-hand side of Equation 3.9 which can be shown by calculus to follow when

$$c(t_{r)} = C(t_{r)} \quad (1.3)$$

Thus, the optimal replacement time is when the current operating cost rate is equal to the average total cost per unit time. In other words, the optimal time to replace is when the marginal cost equals the average cost.

In fact, if the trend in operating costs is linear, c(t) = a + bt, the optimal replacement interval t* is Equation 3.10.

$$t^* = \sqrt{\frac{2C_r}{b}} \quad (1.4)$$

To use the equation $c(t_r) = C(t_r)$ requires that the trend in operating costs be an increasing function, which in practice is a very reasonable assumption. If that is not the case, and as time progresses the operating cost of a component becomes less, then Equation 1.1 needs to be solved using classical calculus (if the cost trend is simple); otherwise, a numerical solution will be required[6].

Establish a Downtime model D (T)

The objective of downtime model D (T) is to minimize total downtime per unit time. In some cases, say due to difficulties in costing or the desire to get maximum throughput or utilization of equipment, the replacement policy required may be one that minimizes total downtime per unit time or, equivalently, maximizes availability [7-8]. The problem of this section is to determine the best times at which replacements should occur to minimize total downtime per unit time. The basic conflict is that as the preventive replacement frequency increases, there is an increase in downtime due to these replacements, but a consequence of this, there is a reduction of


downtime due to failure replacements, and a wish to get the best balance between them [8-9].

2.4. Construction of Model

1. 1.T_f is the mean downtime required to make a failure replacement.
2. 2.T_p is the mean downtime required to make a preventive replacement.
3. F (t) is the probability density function of the failure times of the item.

Determination of the Optimal Preventive Replacement Interval

The objective is to determine the optimal replacement interval between preventive replacements in order to minimize total downtime per unit time. The policy is illustrated in Figure (5).



Figure(5) Downtime Minimization: Optimal Interval

The total downtime per unit time, for preventive replacement at time, denoted as D ( ) is D ( ) =

$$D(t_p) = \frac{\text{Expected downtime due to failures + downtime due to preventive replacement}}{\text{Cycle length}} \quad (1.5)$$

Downtime due to failures = number of failures in interval $(0, t_p) \times$ Time required to make a failure replacement = $H(t_p) \times T_f$

Therefore,

$$D(t_p) = \frac{H(t_p)T_f + T_p}{t_p + T_p} \quad (1.6)$$

This is a model of the problem relating replacement interval to total downtime $D(t_p)$.

III. INTELLIGENT REPLACEMENT MODEL

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is recognized by many researchers as a potentially powerful tool, especially when combined with OR techniques to overcome such problems. Indeed, there has been vast interest in the applications of AI in the maintenance area as witnessed by the large number of areas [10-11]. In this research, two AI techniques for optimal replacement model are applied. The techniques are Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Ants Colony Optimization. AI technique is dependent on case study and the objective is to get accurate and preventive replacement for HFM [10]:

3.1. Numerical – Genetic Algorithm (Num-GA)

GA is vastly applicable in many optimization problems as this method solves problems in nature. In addition, this algorithm is possible to find the replacement and well adapted to the problem using numerical cost and downtime formula to find the replacement maintenance frequency.

3.2. Numerical – Ant Colony Optimization (Num-ACO)

The particular type of probabilistic model used by ACO algorithms is the coupling of the structure called construction graph with a set of stochastic procedures called artificial ants. The artificial ants build solutions in an iterative manner using numerical cost and downtime formula to find the replacement maintenance frequency in HFM.

3.3. Numerical – Genetic Algorithm – Ant Colony (Num-GA-ACO)

The hybrid algorithm, i.e. combination of the GA and the ACO methods for the model parameter of the process using cost and downtime numerical are proposed to find the replacement model in HFM.. Both intelligent techniques are applied to the numerical formula to produce output data and graphical data show the replacement maintenance.

3.4. Determine Optimal Cost

The hostel facility maintenance model shows that the total cost curve is not fairly flat around the optimum and rises rapidly on a side. The optimal interval should be adhered to all possible circumstances. If there is uncertainty about the value of the particular parameter required in the analysis, then the evaluation of the total cost curve for various values of uncertain parameters could affect the solution. In order to further assist engineers in deciding what appropriate replacement policy should be, it is useful to plot the total cost per unit time curve [12-13].The goal is to develop a model that relates to inspection frequency to profitable cost. The advantage of the curve is that, along with giving the value of t, it shows the total cost around the optimum value. If the curve is fairly flat around the optimum, it is not really very important that engineers should plan for the replacements to achieve the optimum value, thus giving some leeway in scheduling the work [14-15].

3.5. Determine Optimal Downtime

The method applied from the downtime model, the corresponding curve is D(T) for the preventive replacement. The remarks that can be concluded are that the assumption verified by the curve that the preventive replacement plotted is the best time to replace component of hostel facility maintenance. If the quality of preventive replacement downtime, it means that the more downtime is detected, the downtime will reduce due to fewer breakdowns occurring during operations [16-17]. In order to further assist engineers in deciding what appropriate replacement policy should be, it is useful to plot the

total downtime per unit time curve. The advantage of the curve is that, along with giving the optimal value of t, it shows the total downtime around the optimum value. The most important point of this problem is that it is concerned with identifying the best level of preventive maintenance (in the inspections and replacement) when the failure rate of the equipment is constant [18].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results and analysis structure of this part are shown in Figure (6).




Figure (6) Result structure

Time (Month)	Cost		Downtime	
	(RM)	%	(Hours)	%
1	170.99	8.58	132.725	34.7
2	170.81	8.5	72.8024	19.01
3	170.71	8.45	36.46075	9.53
4	170.64	8.42	19.3315	5.04
5	170.54	8.36	11.64375	3.04
6	170.38	8.29	7.889385	2.05
7	170.35	8.27	6.66608	1.75
8	170.27	8.24	7.752824	2.03
9	170.23	8.22	8.528883	2.23
10	170.19	8.16	13.583	3.55
11	170.2	8.28	24.01941	6.27
12	170.25	8.23	41.48059	10.8
Total	2090.56	100 %	382.8836	100%

Table 1 show the cost and downtime result respectively in Case Study. Meanwhile, It show the cost and downtime result in the Intelligent Replacement Model

The remarks that can be concluded are that the assumption is verified by the 2D curve that the best time for replacement cost and downtime plotted above the perfect replacement. It also shows that when the value from 19.33 to 170.64 and 13.583 to 170.19 the curve will go to the status quo point. If the quality of cost downtime is met, more faults are detected; the downtime will reduce due to fewer breakdowns occurring during operations.




Figure (7). Two Dimensional Graphs (2 D): Cost-Downtime Intelligent Optimal Replacement Model

CONCLUSIONS

By determining the relevant replacement models and inspection intervals that optimise the cost downtime, obviously can improve the maintenance policy. There is an apparent increase in using intelligent approaches and utilising their combined strengths. There is enormous potential for developments in many applications of AI in maintenance by combining Genetic Algorithms (GA) and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) techniques. Intelligent management systems (IMS) are potentially powerful tools that can help creation best replacement models for HMM.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper is part of theKUIM Internal Research and ConsultancyInnovation (UPPI)and Facultyof Science and Technology (FST), KUIM

REFERENCES

[1] O. A. Lateef, M. F. Khamidi, and A, Idrus, 2010. Building Maintenance management in a Malaysian university campuses : a case study. Australian Journal of Construction economics and Building, pp.77-89

[2] A.S.H. Basari, 2009. Maintenance modeling tools with special to incomplete data. PhD Thesis, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia.

[3] B. Jones, I. Jenkinson, and J. Wang, 2009. Methodology of using delay-time analysis for a manufacturing industry. Reliability Engineering and System Safety, pp.1-14.

[4] Ivan, Mustakerov, and Daniela 2013. An intelligent approach to optimal Borissova, predictive maintenance strategy defining: Innovations in Intelligent Systems and Applications (INISTA). IEEE International Symposium.

[5] Y. A. Wahab and A.S.H. Basari, "Building maintenance management preliminary finding of a case study in ICYM," Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research,vol. 17, no. 9,pp. 1260-1268, 2013.

[6] Y. A. Wahab and A.S.H. Basari, "Analysis of down time and reliability estimation in hostel building maintenance-a case study," Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research,vol. 17, no. 9,pp. 1260-1268, 2013.

[7] B. Jones, I. Jenkinson, and J.Wang, "Methodology of using delay time analysis for a manufacturing industry," Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 111–124, 2009.

[8] W. Wang, D. Banjevic,and M. Pecht, "A multi-component and multi-failure mode inspection model based on the delay time concept," Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 95, no. 8, pp. 912–920, 2010.

[9] Y.A. Wahab and A.S..H Basari ,'Replacement Model for Higher Education Institution Hostel Building Maintenance in Malaysia'International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance, IJTEF 2014 Vol.5(5): 449-453 ISSN: 2010-023X October 2014

[10] N.M. Sabri , A.S.H. Basari, B. Hussin, K. A. A. Samah and Y.A. Wahab, Ant Colony-Dijkstra's Algorithm for Evacuation Preparedness in High Rise Buildings, ICOCOE 2015, 9-11 Jun 2015.Phuket Thailand.

[11] Y.A. Wahab and A.S..H Basari ,'Failure Distribution of the University Hostel Building'International Journal of Engineering and Technology8.5 (May 2016): 350-353.

[12] Y.A. Wahab and A.S..H Basari ,'Best parameter of the hostel building component maintenance'nternational Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 110 – No. 15, January 2015

[13] Y.A. Wahab and A.S..H Basari ,'Identifying the best parameter distribution for university hostel building maintenance'Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research 22 (8): 1145-1149, 2014.ISSN 1990-9233 © IDOSI Publications, 2014

[14] Y.A. Wahab and A.S..H Basari ,'Replacement model for hostel building case study: ICYM'Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research 21 (11): 1977-1981, 2014 ISSN 1990-9233 © IDOSI Publications, 2014

[15] Y.A. Wahab and A.S..H Basari ,'Optimization of Downtime for Replcement Model of Hostel Facility Maintenance'Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research 23 (5): 944-947, 2015 ISSN 1990-9233 © IDOSI Publications, 2015

[16] Y Ab Wahab, ASH Basari, B Hussin, KAA Samah,'Three Dimensional (3D) Cost-Downtime Model for Hostel Facilities Maintenance' Advanced Computer and Communication Engineering Technology,Volume 362 of the series Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering pp 647-657

[17] Y Ab Wahab, ASH Basari , "Hostel Facility Maintenance Preliminary Finding of Higher Education Institution in Malaysia", International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications-ISSN 2250-3153

[18] Yuseni Ab Wahab, Shariffudin Amir Hashim and Abd Samad , " Optimal Replacement Model for Hostel Facility Maintenance in Malaysia ''. World Applied Sciences Journal 35 (1): 60-63, ISSN 2250-3153,2017

★★★